

CORRESPONDENCE



Cell-free DNA analysis for detection of MYD88^{L265P} and CXCR4^{S338X} mutations in Waldenström macroglobulinemia

To the Editor:

Molecular testing for MYD88 and CXCR4 mutations is increasingly used in patients with Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM). MYD88^{L265P} is the most frequent variant (93–95%) in WM patients, while non-L265P MYD88 variants (1–2%) can rarely occur.^{1,2} The presence of mutated MYD88 is associated with a decreased risk of histological transformation, longer overall survival, and sensitivity to ibrutinib.^{3,4} Over 40 nonsense and frameshift CXCR4 variants have been identified in WM patients.^{5,6} Nonsense CXCR4^{S338X} variants are the most common, occurring in 50% of WM patients due to C > A or C > G nucleotide transversions.^{5,6} Among CXCR4 mutations, CXCR4^{S338X} has the largest clinical impact with higher serum IgM levels, symptomatic hyperviscosity, earlier time to initiation of frontline therapy, and shorter progression-free survival with ibrutinib.³ MYD88 and CXCR4 mutations can therefore provide important data regarding diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment response in WM.³

The use of bone marrow (BM) aspirate materials represents the current “gold standard” for molecular testing in WM.^{1–3,5,6} Although MYD88 and CXCR4 mutations can also be identified in peripheral blood (PB), the diagnostic yield in PB is inferior to BM, particularly for previously treated patients.^{7,8} Tumor enrichment with B-cell selection can significantly improve testing sensitivity, but pre-sorting B cells is time-consuming and not feasible in most clinical laboratories.^{7,9} Recent studies have demonstrated the feasibility of identifying MYD88 and CXCR4 mutations by using cell-free DNA (cfDNA) from WM patients.^{10–12} These findings prompted us to perform a comprehensive analysis comparing the use of cfDNA to matched BM and PB, with or without B-cell selection, for detection of the most common MYD88 (L265P) and CXCR4 (S338X) mutations in WM patients.

We prospectively collected matched BM and PB samples from 28 consecutive WM patients. PB was collected in Streck Cell-Free DNA tubes (Streck, La Vista, Nevada) to preserve cfDNA. Tubes were centrifuged and plasma was isolated within 30 h of venipuncture. cfDNA was extracted using the QIAGEN Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with all modifications employed by Kang et al.¹³ Both CD19-selected and unselected BM and PB mononuclear cells were isolated as before.^{2,6,7} Overall, five different tissue fractions were isolated for analysis: CD19-selected BM (BM19+), unselected BM (BMMC), CD19-selected PB (PB19+), unselected PB (PBMC), and cfDNA. Quantitative allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (AS-PCR) assays for MYD88^{L265P} and CXCR4^{S338X} mutations were performed for each tissue fraction using 2.5 ng of DNA as previously described.^{2,6,7} To avoid a potential batch effect, tissue fractions from

the same patient were run on the same plate. Calculations were performed with R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The *p* values < .05 were considered statistically significant. The Dana Farber/Harvard Cancer Center IRB approved this study, and all patients provided written consent for sample use.

Baseline patient characteristics are shown in Table S1. Mutation testing for MYD88^{L265P} and CXCR4^{S338X} was performed in 28 and 23 patients, respectively; limited tumor DNA precluded evaluation of CXCR4^{S338X} in five patients. Using BM19+ as the reference tissue,^{1–3,5,6} we benchmarked the test performance of MYD88^{L265P} and CXCR4^{S338X} detection for BMMC, PB19+, PBMC, and cfDNA (Table 1). The rates of concordance between the different tissue fractions and BM19+ were consistent with previous studies.^{7,9–12}

We then compared the clinicopathological characteristics between patients with concordant and discordant results for MYD88^{L265P} by cfDNA. Discordant patients had a lower median BM involvement (9% vs. 45%; *p* = .04) and serum IgM level (985 vs. 1597 mg/dL; *p* = .02), as well as a higher hemoglobin level (12.3 vs. 10.7 g/dL; *p* = .03) versus concordant patients. The BM involvement significantly correlated with serum IgM (*r* = 0.44, *p* = .02) and hemoglobin (*r* = −0.39, *p* = .04) levels. Consistent with these findings, the concordance for MYD88^{L265P} detection with cfDNA was significantly lower in those with a BM involvement <10% versus ≥10% (50% vs. 90%; *p* = .046). Likewise, among those in whom MYD88^{L265P} was identified in BM19+, MYD88^{L265P} ΔCt was significantly higher in discordant versus concordant patients by cfDNA (6.1 vs. 2.9 cycles; *p* = .02), indicating a lower BM19+ MYD88^{L265P} mutation burden in discordant patients. A prior study of cfDNA in WM patients did not identify an impact of BM involvement on test performance; however, 12 patients with a low BM involvement were excluded in that study due to insufficient DNA, which may have confounded the findings.^{10,11} Age, serum albumin, serum IgM and IgG levels, and prior treatment status did not impact the concordance for MYD88^{L265P} detection with cfDNA (*p* > .05 for all comparisons). Discordant patients by BMMC, PB19+, and PBMC tissue fractions similarly had a significantly lower BM involvement (data not shown), akin to previous studies.^{7,9} These findings collectively demonstrate that a low BM tumor burden adversely impacts MYD88^{L265P} detection with cfDNA in WM patients.

An unexpected observation was in one patient with a “false positive” result for MYD88^{L265P}. The patient had MYD88^{L265P} detected in BMMC and cfDNA, but not the BM19+ fraction following treatment with ixazomib, dexamethasone, and rituximab (IDR). Flow cytometric

TABLE 1 Test performance for MYD88^{L265P} and CXCR4^{S338X} mutations according to tissue fraction

Variable	MYD88 L265P				CXCR4 S338X			
	BM19+	BMMC	PB19+	PBMC	cfDNA	BM19+	BMMC	PB19+
True positive – no.	24	14	19	9	19	6	3	3
True negative – no.	4	3	4	3	3	17	17	17
False positive – no.	0	1 ^a	0	0	1 ^a	0	0	0
False negative – no.	0	10	5	15	5	0	3	3
Concordance (Cohen κ) – %	Ref.	61 (0.17)	82 (0.52)	44 (0.12)	79 (0.38)	Ref.	87 (0.60)	87 (0.60)
Sensitivity (95% CI) – %	Ref.	58 (37–77)	79 (57–92)	38 (20–59)	79 (57–92)	Ref.	50 (14–86)	50 (14–86)
Specificity (95% CI) – %	Ref.	75 (22–99)	100 (40–100)	100 (31–100)	75 (22–99)	Ref.	100 (77–100)	100 (77–100)
PPV (95% CI) – %	Ref.	93 (55–100)	100 (79–100)	100 (63–100)	95 (73–100)	Ref.	100 (31–100)	100 (77–100)
NPV (95% CI) – %	Ref.	23 (6–54)	44 (15–77)	17 (4–42)	38 (10–74)	Ref.	85 (61–96)	85 (61–96)

Note: The patient was previously treated with B-cell depleting therapy (IDR), and only had clonal plasma cells identified by flow cytometry in the bone marrow aspirate. Test performance data for cfDNA for MYD88^{L265P} using BMMC that encompass B cell and plasma cell fractions is reported in Table S2. Twenty-seven patients had PBMC available for MYD88^{L265P} testing; one patient was wild-type for MYD88 in the BM19+ fraction.

Abbreviations: BM19+, CD19-selected bone marrow mononuclear cells; BMMC, unselected bone marrow mononuclear cells; cfDNA, cell-free DNA; NPV, negative predictive value; PB19+, CD19-selected peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PBMC, unselected peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PPV, positive predictive value; Ref, denotes reference tissue.

^aOne patient was deemed a “false positive” because MYD88^{L265P} was detected in BMMC and cfDNA but not BM19+.

analysis identified clonal CD38+ plasma cells, but not CD19+ B cells in this patient, suggesting that residual plasma cells unamenable to CD19-selection probably accounted for the detection of MYD88^{L265P} in BMMC and cfDNA but not the CD19+ fraction.⁹ Indeed, MYD88^{L265P} is present in both clonal B and plasma cells derived from individual WM patients, and clonal plasma cells may persist long after B-cell depleting therapy.^{1,14} To account for this treatment effect, we re-calculated the test performance statistics for cfDNA using both the B and plasma cell compartments as reference tissue. The adjusted results yielded a concordance, sensitivity, and specificity of 82%, 80%, and 100%, respectively, for MYD88^{L265P} by cfDNA in WM patients (Table S2).

Overall, our findings strengthen the available evidence that PB cfDNA can reliably be used to identify the most common MYD88 (L265P) and CXCR4 (S338X) variants in WM patients. Our data expand upon previous studies by validating cfDNA for MYD88^{L265P} and CXCR4^{S338X} against CD19-selected and unselected BM and PB tissue fractions.¹⁰⁻¹² This approach also revealed that cfDNA correctly identified the mutation status of a WM patient who underwent B-cell depleting therapy, a finding likely related to residual clonal plasma cells.⁹ While a cfDNA “liquid biopsy” does not supplant a BM biopsy to diagnose WM, it can offer a non-invasive, convenient, and potentially cost-effective method to genotype WM patients. MYD88^{L265P} can help discriminate WM from other IgM-secreting malignancies, while both MYD88 and CXCR4 (particularly S338X) mutations may be useful in making treatment decisions, especially if considering therapy with BTK inhibitors.²⁻⁴ Because of the high specificity, cfDNA could represent an initial testing modality for WM patients in whom an invasive BM biopsy might be needed solely for genotyping purposes.

Our study is not without limitations. The low number of patients with CXCR4^{S338X} precluded an assessment of clinicopathological characteristics and CXCR4^{S338X} concordance with cfDNA. Additionally, we focused our study on MYD88^{L265P} and CXCR4^{S338X} since these constitute the most common variants observed in WM.^{5,6} The use of cfDNA with targeted ultra-deep next-generation sequencing may lead to the reliable identification of other MYD88 and CXCR4 variants. Further investigation is also warranted to evaluate cfDNA as a treatment monitoring tool, as acquired *BTK*, *CARD11*, and *PLCγ2* mutations are associated with disease progression on BTK inhibitors in WM patients.¹⁵

In summary, MYD88^{L265P} and CXCR4^{S338X} can be identified with high sensitivity and specificity in cfDNA derived from the plasma of WM patients. The use of cfDNA represents a non-invasive, convenient, and potentially cost-effective method for genotyping WM patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Orszag Family Fund for WM Research, the D'Amato Family Fund for Genomic Discovery, the International Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia Foundation, the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society (Grant: R6507-18), the Kerry Robertson Fund

for WM. S.P.T., Z.R.H. and G.Y. are supported by an NIH SPORE in Multiple Myeloma (Grant: 2P50CA100707-16A1).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

S.P.T., J.J.C., G.Y., Z.R.H. have received research funding and/or consulting fees from Pharmacyclics Inc., Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc., the manufacturer of ibrutinib. S.P.T. has received research funding from Bristol Myers Squibb, X4 Pharmaceuticals, and Beigene. J.J.C. received research funding and/or consulting fees from Abbvie, Beigene, Kymera, and TG Therapeutics.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Maria G. Demos, Joshua N. Gustine, Zachary R. Hunter, and Steven P. Treon designed the study and performed the analysis. Maria G. Demos, Lian Xu, Guang Yang, Xia Liu, Amanda Kofides, Nicholas Tsakmaklis, Manit Munshi, and Maria Luisa Guerrero prepared and performed molecular testing on patient samples. Kirsten Meid, Christopher J. Patterson, Shayna Sarosiek, Carly R. Leventoff, Timothy P. White, Catherine A. Flynn, Andrew R. Branagan, Jorge J. Castillo, and Steven P. Treon provided clinical care for the patients and collected the samples. Maria G. Demos, Joshua N. Gustine and Steven P. Treon drafted the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Maria G. Demos¹, Zachary R. Hunter^{1,2} , Lian Xu¹, Nicholas Tsakmaklis¹, Amanda Kofides¹, Manit Munshi¹, Xia Liu¹, Maria Luisa Guerrero¹, Carly R. Leventoff¹, Timothy P. White¹, Catherine A. Flynn¹, Kirsten Meid¹, Christopher J. Patterson¹, Guang Yang^{1,2}, Andrew R. Branagan^{2,3}, Shayna Sarosiek^{1,2}, Jorge J. Castillo^{1,2} , Steven P. Treon^{1,2} , Joshua N. Gustine^{1,4} 

¹Bing Center for Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

³Division of Medical Oncology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁴Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence

Steven P. Treon, Bing Center for Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, M548, 450 Brookline Avenue, Boston, MA 02115.

Email: steven_treon@dfci.harvard.edu

ORCID

Zachary R. Hunter  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1689-1691>

Jorge J. Castillo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9490-7532>

Steven P. Treon  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6393-6154>

Joshua N. Gustine  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6717-7669>

REFERENCES

1. Treon SP, Xu L, Yang G, et al. MYD88 L265P somatic mutation in Waldenström's macroglobulinemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(9):826-833.
2. Xu L, Hunter ZR, Yang G, et al. MYD88 L265P in Waldenström macroglobulinemia, immunoglobulin M monoclonal gammopathy, and other B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders using conventional and quantitative allele-specific polymerase chain reaction. *Blood*. 2013;121(11):2051-2058.
3. Treon SP, Xu L, Guerrero ML, et al. Genomic landscape of Waldenström macroglobulinemia and its impact on treatment strategies. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38(11):1198-1208.
4. Treon SP, Meid K, Gustine J, et al. Long-term follow-up of ibrutinib monotherapy in symptomatic, previously treated patients with Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;39(6):565-575.
5. Hunter ZR, Xu L, Yang G, et al. The genomic landscape of Waldenström macroglobulinemia is characterized by highly recurring MYD88 and WHIM-like CXCR4 mutations, and small somatic deletions associated with B-cell lymphomagenesis. *Blood*. 2014;123(11):1637-1646.
6. Xu L, Hunter ZR, Tsakmaklis N, et al. Clonal architecture of CXCR4 WHIM-like mutations in Waldenström macroglobulinaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 2016;172(5):735-744.
7. Xu L, Hunter ZR, Yang G, et al. Detection of MYD88 L265P in peripheral blood of patients with Waldenström's macroglobulinemia and IgM monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Leukemia*. 2014;28(8):1698-1704.
8. Growkova K, Kufova Z, Sevcikova T, et al. Diagnostic tools of Waldenström's macroglobulinemia - best possibilities for non-invasive and long-term disease monitoring. *Klin Onkol*. 2017;30(Supplementum2):81-91.
9. Gustine J, Meid K, Xu L, Hunter ZR, Castillo JJ, Treon SP. To select or not to select? The role of B-cell selection in determining the MYD88 mutation status in Waldenström macroglobulinaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 2017;176(5):822-824.
10. Bagratuni T, Ntanas-Stathopoulos I, Gavriatopoulou M, et al. Detection of MYD88 and CXCR4 mutations in cell-free DNA of patients with IgM monoclonal gammopathies. *Leukemia*. 2018;32(12):2617-2625.
11. Ntanas-Stathopoulos I, Bagratuni T, Gavriatopoulou M, et al. Cell-free DNA analysis for the detection of MYD88 and CXCR4 mutations in IgM monoclonal gammopathies; an update with clinicopathological correlations. *Am J Hematol*. 2020;95(6):E148-E150.
12. Wu Y-Y, Jia M-N, Cai H, et al. Detection of the MYD88L265P and CXCR4S338X mutations by cell-free DNA in Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *Ann Hematol*. 2020;99(8):1763-1769.
13. Kang Q, Henry NL, Paoletti C, et al. Comparative analysis of circulating tumor DNA stability in K3EDTA, Streck, and CellSave blood collection tubes. *Clin Biochem*. 2016;49(18):1354-1360.
14. Barakat FH, Medeiros LJ, Wei EX, Konoplev S, Lin P, Jorgensen JL. Residual monotypic plasma cells in patients with Waldenström macroglobulinemia after therapy. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2011;135(3):365-373.
15. Xu L, Tsakmaklis N, Yang G, et al. Acquired mutations associated with ibrutinib resistance in Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *Blood*. 2017;129(18):2519-2525.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.